



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,436	10/13/2000	Alan H. Karp	10992795	8480
75	***************************************		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration			VO, LILIAN	
P. O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
fort Collins, CO	80527-2400		2127	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	. 7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

f

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
1	09/687,436	KARP ET AL.	4
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lilian Vo	2127	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) N tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			-
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ T  3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal m		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 17 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abe rection is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have be reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/687,436

Art Unit: 2127

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1 - 17 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 6 9, 11 and 13 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bechtolsheim et al. (US 6,515,963, hereinafter Bechtolsheim).
- 4. Regarding **claim 1**, Bechtolsheim discloses a method for flexible allocation of a resource, comprising the steps of:

associating a soft limit and a hard limit to a potential user of the resource wherein the soft limit guarantees access to the resource by the potential user and the hard limit enables the potential user to exceed the soft limit on a first-come-first-served basis (fig. 9, col. 12, lines 1 - 18);

obtaining a request for allocation of a portion of the resource for the potential user (figs. 9 and 10);

Application/Control Number: 09/687,436 Page 3

Art Unit: 2127

granting the request if the request if allowed would not exceed the soft limit of the potential user (figs. 9: 910, col. 12, lines 1 - 18);

denying the request if the request if allowed would exceed the hard limit of the potential user (figs. 9: 930, col. 12, lines 1 - 18);

denying the request if the request if allowed would cause a grand total allocation of the resource to exceed a high watermark assigned to the resource and granting the request otherwise (fig 3: 340, fig 10: 1010, 340, col. 9, lines 59 – 67, col. 10, line 65 – col. 11, line 7).

- Regarding claims 6 and 8, Bechtolsheim discloses the step of assigning the soft limit and/or hard limit in response to a class associated with the potential user (col. 3, lines 13 21, col. 13, lines 11 29).
- 6. Claims 5, 7, 9, 11 and 13 14 are rejected on the same ground as stated above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2 4, 10, 12 and 15 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bechtolsheim et al. (US 6,515,963, hereinafter Bechtolsheim) as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, in view of Harris et al. (US 6,438,704, hereinafter Harris).

Art Unit: 2127

9. Regarding **claim 2**, Bechtolsheim did not clearly disclose the additional limitation as claimed. Nevertheless, Harris discloses the step of entering a reduction mode for handling a subsequent request for allocation of the resource (col. 12, line 38 – col. 13, line 22, fig. 4).

It would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to incorporate Harris' teaching together with Bechtolsheim's system so the subsequent and/or additional requests can be fulfilled.

10. Regarding **claim 4**, Bechtolsheim did not clearly disclose the additional limitation as claimed. Nevertheless, Harris discloses the step of assigning the low watermark to the resource (col. 4, line 31 – col. 5, line 45 and col. 14, line 52 – col. 15, line 32).

It would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to incorporate Harris' teaching together with Bechtolsheim's system so that the necessary resource amount require for a particular request can be determined.

Regarding **claim 10**, Bechtolsheim did not clearly disclose the additional limitation as claimed. Nevertheless, the step of allocating a portion of the resource for system use (col. 2, lines 39 – 67, fig. 1 and 2, col. 4, line 45 – col. 5, line 32).

Art Unit: 2127

It would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to incorporate Harris' teaching together with Bechtolsheim's system so that there are resource set aside for system functions.

12. Claims 3, 12 and 15 - 17 are rejected on the same ground as stated above.

## Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as set forth above.

#### Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/687,436

Art Unit: 2127

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Page 6

final action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lilian Vo whose telephone number is 703-305-7864. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 703-305-9678. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lilian Vo Examiner

Art Unit 2127

lv May 6, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100